Friends of Wigmore Park (FoWP)-20038785

New Century Park/Green Horizons Park and facilitating works for Terminal 2 expansion

Concerns

We are concerned that Luton Borough Council (LBC) and Luton Rising (LR) have engineered a position in which planning applications by LR for a Century Park Access Road (CPAR) have been approved because they facilitate the DCO Application prior to that Application being granted, under the guise of a road to serve a potential business park. The effect is to provide a site with adequate road access for Terminal 2 without Terminal 2 having been agreed.

Furthermore, by funding the CPAR LBC will have taken a significant construction cost out of the DCO implementation budget, again making the development more attractive.

We are also concerned that repositioning of the business park (now called Green Horizons) to a new site on Wigmore Valley Park, was also done so that the local planning process could be used to facilitate and aid this DCO application.

Luton Rising in its written responses has denied that any of its plans for the business park development on the site of Terminal 2 have involved any works which could be described as facilitating works for that terminal.

However, the planning history relating to that business park suggest otherwise – indicating that CPAR was crucial to opening up the Wigmore Valley Park (WVP) site for Terminal 2.

Planning history

The first application for an access road through the Luton Airport site to access a proposed Century Park site was made in March 1990. This routing was insisted on by the Luton Liberal Democrats, who had championed the building of New Airport Way to take Airport traffic away from local estates when the only access was via Frank Lester Way¹.

The map in this application shows that Century Park was to be constructed on land to the east of WVP. This application was later withdrawn in 1996.

The next application for the CPAR was made in April 1996².

(All URLS's last accessed 07/09/2023)

¹ See https://planning.luton.gov.uk/online-applications/applicationDetails.do?keyVal=9000965FUL&activeTab=summary

² See https://planning.luton.gov.uk/online-applications/applicationDetails.do?keyVal=9801222FUL&activeTab=summary

The Century Park site was still to the east of WVP rather than on it, and the access road was still to run through the airport. This application was withdrawn in March 2013.

The next application for CPAR was made in March 1998, with the Century Park site and access road still as the previous applications³. This application was approved in June 1998.

However, this development was not implemented as sufficient funding could not be found for the access road, either by LBC or private investment.

A later application for New Century Park was made in December 2017, with the business park site moved to cover WVP, and including the CPAR⁴. This application was approved by the LBC Development Control Committee in March 2019, and then reapproved by them in February 2021.

Preparation for the DCO Application began in 2015, and a non-statutory consultation (NSC) was published in June 2018⁵. Page 53 of the (NSC), under 'Enabling Works', states in the first paragraphs:

"Road infrastructure will need to be provided on the site, with improvement to some local roads and junctions. The application for the Century Park Access Road (see diagram) is still under consideration following a planning application to Luton Council. If successful, the Century Park Access Road would benefit a north-side development.

For a south-side option we would need to provide a new carriageway road linking the new terminal complex back to New Airport Way. This would mean a major new intersection system near the point where the road passes over the mainline railway."

CPAR is shown on the accompanying map.

NSC page 94 acknowledges a need for dovetailing with the New Century Park and states:

"In the event that consent for New Century Park and the access road is not granted by Luton Council, it is expected that a new access road would be included as part of a DCO application for expansion options to the north side of the airport, and potentially other elements of the New Century Park development"

We regard it as clear that CPAR had been identified as a key enabler for the DCO project – and indeed no other Terminal 2 access road plans have been published.

³ See https://planning.luton.gov.uk/online-applications/applicationDetails.do?keyVal=9800457OUT&activeTab=summary

⁴ See https://planning.luton.gov.uk/online-applications/applicationDetails.do?keyVal=P15LFYKG05100&activeTab=summary

⁵ https://lutonrising.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2021/11/nsc luton.pdf

No business case for CPAR

The CPAR has consistently failed to attract the required funding for its construction. LBC first approached SEMLEP-South East Midlands Local Enterprise partnership as it would be part of a Local Enterprise Zone. The SEMLEP Board meeting on 27/02/19 discussed a report on the London Luton Airport Enterprise Zone, to update members on the progress of the delivery and governance of the Zone.

This report informed the Board that London Luton Airport Ltd, (ie LR), had withdrawn a bid for £20 million of funding from SEMLEP for CPAR, as funding was being transferred to LBC.

At the Scrutiny Finance Review Group of LBC held on 22/08/2019, this Group resolved to advise the LBC Executive that they were neither able to recommend the outline business case nor the financial arrangements for Phase 1 of CPAR as summarised in the report of the Corporate Director-Place and Infrastructure to the Committee.

No documentation of this decision can be publicly accessed: LBC puts all references to LR finances under the provision of Local Government Act 1972 Part VA, and does not make any such detail available for public inspection. We regard this as a democratic deficiency.

The refusal to recommend was referred to the LBC Executive Meting on 11/11/2019, but rescheduled for the meeting on the 13/012020, where it was rescheduled again to 03/02/2020, where the minutes of this meeting show that once again it was not discussed, as it had been withdrawn.

It appears that the refusal to recommend by the Scrutiny Finance Review Group was never properly presented to the Executive of LBC, but the CPAR project was passed and accepted. This is a cause of significant concern.

Issues for the ExA to Examine

Notwithstanding discussions and decisions made by LBC about LR projects being made in private under the Local Government Act 1972 Part VA, we ask the Examining Authority to investigate and assess the following:

- The expansion plans detailed in the documents provided by LR for the public to make their comments on, did not show any access routes to their preferred site on WVP, apart from CPAR, why was that? What was the Plan B route for development and operational access? Was the only access modelling undertaken for the CPAR route, as the planning permission for that route was a local planning issue for LBC?
- 2) Why was the report by the Scrutiny Finance Review Group made on 22/08/2019 recommending against the Business Case for CPAR not discussed by the Executive Committee? If that Committee saw an alternative a Business Case, what Business Case was provided, and by whom, and on what date was it accepted by the Executive Committee?
- 3) Initial proposals listed in the NC Sift reports consider a south side development, and access road. What were the costings of that southern route versus the CPAR route? What were the deciding factors in choosing a northern route over a southern?

It remains our contention that the CPAR project was "transferred" to LBC to pick up on as a project funded outside the DCO Application even though it clearly facilitates Terminal 2.